

## Unknown

---

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 2:33 PM  
**To:** PARNELL; S (GOV sponsored)  
**Subject:** Fw: Hub

Awesome place. If you hear of potential funding sources send them to Juneau Christian Center's Hub.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com

**Date:** Fri, 5 Oct 2007 22:29:21

**To:** "Karleen Jackson" <karleen.jackson@alaska.gov>, "Anna Kim" <anna.kim@alaska.gov>

**Cc:** "Mike Tibbles" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>, "K Perry" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

**Subject:** Hub

Just toured Juneau's HUB... what an awesome place for teens this will be! Said I'd do all I could to help find funds (they need \$500g more to open), esp since I vetoed state funds for it - bc of the church relationship.

If we get a chance to put a plug in for the HUB with Rasmussen or other funders, let's do so. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

---

**From:** Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 10:00 AM  
**To:** fnhcm@uaf.edu  
**Subject:** RE: Other

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

### -----Original Message-----

**From:** WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 04, 2007 8:47 PM  
**To:** Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)  
**Subject:** Other

Web mail from: Ms. heather mcintyre  
address: 800 Smythe Strset Fairbanks AK 99701  
907-452-4540

### MESSAGE:

Dear Governor Palin, I am writing to you because I feel so apprehensive that we are going to wake up some morning and hear on the news that the US has started a pre-emptive bombing campaign against Iran...I have contacted Senators Murkowski and Stevens and Congressman Young....but I feel as though my voice is not heard...not heard because I am not a person of influence...but you are...and you are the only person I know who might be willing to stand up and say "STOP THIS RUSH TO WAR WITH IRAN". If every Governor, in every State was to voice their opposition to bombing Iran...then perhaps something could be done before it is too late. Please, please, seriously consider taking a stand on this issue. I have copied the text of an article by Reese Erlich from the October 3rd issue of AlterNet: <http://www.alternet.org/audits/64221/>: "On Sept. 26, by a vote of 76-22, the Senate passed a 'sense of the Senate' resolution calling on the United States

to declare Iran's Revolutionary Guards a terrorist organization. The resolution, pushed by former vice presidential candidate Sen. Joe Lieberman, continues the drumbeat for war against Iran. The Bush administration is preparing public opinion for a possible bombing attack on Iran. As with the months prior to the Iraq invasion, major Democratic Party leaders are climbing on board. Half the warships in the U.S. Navy now sit within striking distance of Iran. Bush and Cheney have stepped up their rhetoric accusing Iran of threatening to start a 'nuclear holocaust'. The British press are predicting that the Bush administration will bomb Iran in the near future. The White House is using the same propaganda techniques to whip up popular opinion against Iran that it used four years ago against Iraq. Here's the real story. Iran has no nuclear weapons and couldn't have them for years. The International Atomic Energy Agency, the U.N. body that was rig

ht about WMDs in Iraq, says it has no pr

oof of Iranian plans to build nuclear bombs. The IAEA recently reached a binding agreement for Iran to reveal its past nuclear activities and allow full inspection of nuclear power sites. The sophisticated EPF (explosively formed penetrators) supposedly supplied by Iran to militias in Iraq are easily made in Iraqi machine shops and can be purchased commercially for mining operations. For years Iran has given political, economic and military support to Shia and Kurdish militias, but the administration has never proven that Iran is intentionally targeting U.S. soldiers. Iran does not plan, nor does it have the capability of wiping Israel off the map. If Iran is such an immediate threat to Israel, why hasn't it already launched a conventional missile attack? Such aggression would invite immediate destruction of Iran by both Israel and the United States. So if Iran hasn't started a conventional attack in 28 years, why would it possibly launch an at

omic attack, even assuming it could develop a few such weapons years from now? Iranian leaders are angry; they are not crazy. Iran does support Hizbollah in Lebanon and Hamas in Palestine, but such support does not constitute a threat of Jewish annihilation. The U.S. and Israeli governments consciously distort and exaggerate Iran's threat in order to justify immediate military action. For two years the United States has helped splinter groups among Iran's ethnic minorities to blow up buildings, assassinate Revolutionary Guards and kill civilians in an effort to destabilize the Tehran regime. In short, the United States does to Iran what it accuses Iran of doing in Iraq. The hardliners in the Bush administration, led by Cheney, see an opportunity to bomb Iran before Bush leaves office. They hope to launch a massive bombing campaign which will so weaken Tehran that the regime will fall and Iranians will see the United States as their savior. Does

this sound the faintest bit familiar?

In reality, a U.S. attack would be disastrous. Iran could close the Strait of Hormuz, through which 25 percent of the world's oil supplies pass. Oil prices would skyrocket. Iran could encourage Hizbollah to launch missiles into Israel. Muslims would hold demonstrations in Europe, the Middle East and Asia. Iran could mobilize that anger and encourage Shiite parties in Iraq to attack U.S. troops. In a truly nightmare scenario, Iran could encourage terrorist attacks inside the United States and in allied countries. When I interviewed Syria's President Bashar al-Asad in 2006, he said, "If you do an military strike, you will have chaos. It's very dangerous." The people of Iran, leading democracy advocates and even conservative Iranian-American exile groups oppose an attack. They understand that U.S. bombs falling on Tehran will only rally people behind the current government. In an open letter to the United Nations, former political prisoner and Iranian

opposition leader Akbar Ganji wrote, "Even speaking about the possibility of a military attack on Iran makes things extremely difficult for human rights and pro-democracy activists in Iran. No Iranian wants to see what happened to Iraq or Afghanistan repeated in Iran." I don't know with certainty if the United States will attack Iran. It is possible that the Bush administration is ratcheting up militaristic rhetoric in order to intimidate European allies into tightening economic sanctions against Iran. And the decision whether to bomb Iran depends, in part, on actions by the American people. Now is the time to let your national and local politicians know that we don't need another human disaster in the Middle East. Reese Erlich is author of the new book *The Iran Agenda: the Real Story of U.S. Policy and the Middle East Crisis*. Sincerely, Heather McIntyre

fnhcm@uaf.edu

PLEASE ADD TO E-NEWS

## Unknown

---

**From:** Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 9:59 AM  
**To:** akrljordan@hotmail.com  
**Subject:** RE: Fish\_and\_Game

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** WsbMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 04, 2007 11:22 PM  
**To:** Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)  
**Subject:** Fish\_and\_Game

Web mail from: Mr. Ronald Jordan

address: 8170 Woodgreen Cir. Anchorage AK 99518  
907-345-2755

### MESSAGE:

When you took office you said in a speech "Grow Mors Moose". When is that going to happen? ADF & G in Game unit 20 are hunting cows and calves. Why? Why not transplant them to Unit 5 which needs them. or transplant the orphan calves left over from a auto accident in Anchorage, Fairbanks or other locations. ADF & G for the past several years have been wasting Alaskas wildlife resources. I would like to see our managment in our wildlife resources changed. It ADF & G needs to manage wildlife not the people. Thank You Ronald Jordan Anchorage Alaska

akrljordan@hotmail.com

## Unknown

---

**From:** Frank Bailey [ftb907@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 8:21 AM  
**To:** Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

We'll do it.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

**Date:** Fri, 05 Oct 2007 08:10:45

**To:** ftb907@yahoo.com, "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Pls call Ken and anyone else trustworthy- tho I usually dont like vetting names too aggressively bc we'll hear too many conflicting opinions... But a few may be helpful. Just looking for any red flags.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>

**To:** Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

**Sent:** Fri Oct 05 08:01:42 2007

**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

U bet...let me check on trefon's # and find some others....

Rhonda- Privileged or Person

Ken Johns Privileged or Person

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

**Date:** Fri, 05 Oct 2007 07:47:27

**To:** "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

**Cc:** ftb907@yahoo.com

**Subject:** Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Also, any trusted AFN leaders whom you guys could help me vet her name - Frank would you call Trefon tell him it's confidential tho. Any of the native corp prez's like margie brown whom can be trusted and confidential?

## Unknown

---

**From:** Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) [kris.perry@alaska.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 8:16 AM  
**To:** Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Cc:** ftb907@yahoo.com  
**Subject:** RE: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

We can.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>  
**To:** "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>  
**Cc:** "ftb907@yahoo.com" <ftb907@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** 10/5/2007 8:13 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

We'll need to do the checking ourselves, but run by Tara Rhonda's name.

I have to meet with a few folks this morning who are working on the house, so if you guys can contact those few names that'd be great. Let me know if you cant.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)  
**To:** Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Cc:** ftb907@yahoo.com <ftb907@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Fri Oct 05 08:11:30 2007  
**Subject:** RE: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

**Privileged or** Also I thought about Gloria O'Neil and Ken Johns. I can call Margie. Perhaps Tara J. can be trusted to check w/folks?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>  
**To:** "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>  
**Cc:** "ftb907@yahoo.com" <ftb907@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** 10/5/2007 7:47 AM

Subject: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Also, any trusted AFN leaders whom you guys could help me vet her name - Frank would you call Trefon tell him it's confidential tho. Any of the native corp prez's like margie brown whom can be trusted and confidential?



## Unknown

---

**From:** Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 8:14 AM  
**To:** Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Cc:** 'ftb907@yahoo.com'  
**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

We'll need to do the checking ourselves, but run by Tara Rhonda's name.

I have to meet with a few folks this morning who are working on the house, so if you guys can contact those few names that'd be great. Let me know if you cant.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)  
**To:** Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Cc:** ftb907@yahoo.com <ftb907@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Fri Oct 05 08:11:30 2007  
**Subject:** RE: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Privileged c

. Also I thought about Gloria O'Neil and Ken Johns. I can call Margie. Perhaps Tara J. can be trusted to check w/folks?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>  
**To:** "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>  
**Cc:** "ftb907@yahoo.com" <ftb907@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** 10/5/2007 7:47 AM  
**Subject:** Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Also, any trusted AFN leaders whom you guys could help me vet her name - Frank would you call Trefon tell him it's confidential tho. Any of the native corp prez's like margie brown whom can be trusted and confidential?

## Unknown

---

**From:** Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) [kris.perry@alaska.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 8:12 AM  
**To:** Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Cc:** ftb907@yahoo.com  
**Subject:** RE: Can i get a raachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

**Privileged or** Also I thought about Gloria O'Neil and Ken Johns. I can call Margie. Perhaps Tara J. can be trusted to check w/folks?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>  
**To:** "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>  
**Cc:** "ftb907@yahoo.com" <ftb907@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** 10/5/2007 7:47 AM  
**Subject:** Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Also, any trusted AFN leaders whom you guys could help me vet her name - Frank would you call Trefon tell him it's confidential tho. Any of the native corp prez's like margie brown whom can be trusted and confidential?

## Unknown

---

**From:** Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 8:11 AM  
**To:** 'ftb907@yahoo.com'; Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

pls call Ken and anyone else trustworthy- tho I usually dont like vetting names too aggressively bc we'll hear too many conflicting opinions... But a few may be helpful. Just looking for any red flags.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Frank Bailey <ftb907@yahoo.com>  
**To:** Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Sent:** Fri Oct 05 08:01:42 2007  
**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

U bet...let me check on trefon's # and find some others....

Rhonda- Privileged or P

Ken Johns- Privileged or I

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

**Date:** Fri, 05 Oct 2007 07:47:27

**To:** "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

**Cc:** ftb907@yahoo.com

**Subject:** Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Also, any trusted AFN leaders whom you guys could help me vet her name - Frank would you call Trefon tell him it's confidential tho. Any of the native corp prez's like margie brown whom can be trusted and confidential?

## Unknown

---

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com  
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 8:09 AM  
To: Frye; Ivy J (DOA)  
Subject: Re:

:)

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>

Date: Fri, 05 Oct 2007 07:59:50

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re:

Nah, he has a young one in anc too. I called and gave him a hard time after the news last night. I said, "aren't you still trying to recover from the last time you didn't keep your mouth shut?" He was ingracious of course,

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Fri Oct 05 07:35:37 2007

Subject: Re:

Between being called McCarthy, and back in the day all of us called Communists... well sheesh!

Maybe Jay's missing his little lady and feels frustrated lately. I'll have to keep him away from Bristol.

The girls and I are in windy Juneau today!

-----Original Message-----

From: Ivy Frye

To: Governor Sarah Palin

Sent: Oct 4, 2007 10:12 PM

Subject:

Holy cow! We get home and the first thing we see is ramras on tv. We just ran into him in the airport too and he was nice as pie. I'm not impressed! That's me tho...

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

---

**From:** Frank Bailey [ftb907@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 8:02 AM  
**To:** Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Subject:** Re: Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

U bet...let me check on trefon's # and find some others....

Rhonda- [Privileged or]

Ken Johns- [Privileged or]

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)" <govpalin@alaska.gov>

**Date:** Fri, 05 Oct 2007 07:47:27

**To:** "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

**Cc:** ftb907@yahoo.com

**Subject:** Can i get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Also, any trusted AFN leaders whom you guys could help me vet her name - Frank would you call Trefon tell him it's confidential tho. Any of the native corp prez's like margie brown whom can be trusted and confidential?

## Unknown

---

**From:** Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 7:47 AM  
**To:** Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)  
**Cc:** 'ftb907@yahoo.com'  
**Subject:** Can I get a reachable # for Rhonda mcbride?

Also, any trusted AFN leaders whom you guys could help me vet her name - Frank would you call Trefon tell him it's confidential tho. Any of the native corp prez's like margie brown whom can be trusted and confidential?

## Unknown

---

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 7:33 AM  
**To:** Katz; John W (GOV); Greene; Ben A (DNR); Rutherford; Martha K (DNR); Lefebvre; Richard A (DNR); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Bates; Randell W (DNR)  
**Cc:** Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)  
**Subject:** Re: Polar Bears/Oil Development

I agree and thanks for the info John.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "John Katz" <jwkatz@ALASKADC.org>

**Date:** Thu, 04 Oct 2007 10:30:13

**To:** "Ben Greens" <ben.greene@alaska.gov>, "Marty Rutherford" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>, "Dick Lefebvre" <richard.lefebvre@alaska.gov>, "Tom Irwin" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>, "Randy Bates" <randy\_bates@dnr.state.ak.us>

**Cc:** "Joseph Balash" <joe\_balash@gov.state.ak.us>, "Michael Tibbles" <michael\_tibbles@gov.state.ak.us>, "Governor Sarah Palin" <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

**Subject:** Polar Bears/Oil Development

I thought that you might want to see the letters below, together with my reaction, concerning the effect of a polar bear listing on North Slope oil development.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

P.S.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Please note that my email address has changed. My new email address is <mailto:jwkatz@alaskadc.org> jwkatz@alaskadc.org. Thank you .



>>> On 10/4/2007 at 8:05 AM, in message <47049EA3.CD3B.0090.3@ALASKADC.org>, John Katz wrote:

Thanks for forwarding Congressman Inslee's letters. It's interesting to note that, despite all available evidence to the contrary, Inslee wants to forsclose oil and gas development pending further USFWS action. I've also been concerned that the USGS studies would lead to calls for an endangered determination.

Is it worth circulating a "Dear Colleague" letter pointing out previous statements by Secretary Kempthorne and the USFWS that neither subsistence use nor oil and gas development appears to be a causal factor in the loss of critical habitat?

>>> On 10/3/2007 at 5:41 PM, in message <F73D529D0F6FBF4EA9DEB54ED10BE00B574426@HRM09.US.House.gov>, "Kennedy, Kevin V." <Kevin.V.Kennedy@mail.house.gov> wrote:

FYI!!!

From: Osborne, Beth

Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2007 3:24 PM

To: Dear Colleague

Subject: Dear Colleague; Environment; Polar Bear Listing

Deadline: COB October 4

October 2, 2007

Sea Ice Reductions will Result in a 2/3rds Loss of Polar Bears by 2050, says USGS

Join Rep. Inslee in Requesting "Endangered" Status

Dear Colleague:

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has concluded that polar bears will almost certainly disappear entirely from Alaska by the middle of this century, and that by that time, we will have lost fully two-thirds of the world's polar bears. The new findings indicate that the polar bear should be protected as "endangered" under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) because they are in danger of extinction in most of their range. I hope you will join me in sending the below public comment to Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS).

Early this year, FWS proposed to list the polar bear as "threatened" under the Endangered Species Act and also directed the USGS to contribute projections on polar bear populations as they related to sea-ice habitat in the Arctic before FWS' final ruling. The nine USGS reports give us a better understanding of how melting sea-ice affects polar bears and show a more precarious future for the species than previously estimated.

While the conclusions of the USGS reports are cause for immediate concern and actions to protect polar bears, they are not cause for despair. The studies focused on the "business as usual" Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change ("IPCC") scenario of future emissions, in which atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations are assumed to reach 717 parts per million by 2100. The studies did not consider a scenario in which greenhouse gas emissions are capped at a much lower level. Stated simply, "business as usual" emissions will drive polar bears to extinction with near certainty, but it is not too late to preserve them if we act now.

Listing the polar bear as endangered under the ESA could provide clear benefits to the species. The ESA is one of the United States' most successful environmental laws and more than 98 percent of the species listed under the ESA still are alive today. Please join me in commenting on these new studies, and urging the service to list the polar bear as endangered under ESA. To sign on, please contact Beth Osborne at <mailto:beth.osborne@mail.house.gov> beth.osborne@mail.house.gov or at 5-6311 by COB October 4, 2007.

Sincerely,

/s/

JAY INSLEE

Member of Congress

October 5, 2007

Ms. Rosa Meehan

Supervisor

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Polar Bear Project Leader

Marine Mammals Management Office

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

1011 East Tudor Road

Anchorage, Alaska 99503

RE: Comments on the Proposal to List the Polar Bear as a Threatened Species (72 Fed. Reg. 53749-53751)

Dear Dr. Meehan:

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has generated new scientific models which, under current conditions, suggest an even more precarious future for polar bears since the initial filing to list the polar bear as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in 2005 and the Fish and Wildlife Service's (FWS) proposal to list the polar bear as threatened in January. The nine reports by scientists at USGS this month show that the polar bear is in danger of extinction in most of its range. We believe that these new findings indicate that the polar bear should be listed as endangered under ESA.

We appreciate that in considering a final rule, the service requested that the USGS investigate a number of scientific questions relating to polar bears and their sea ice habitat. The USGS conducted these investigations using existing and new observational data and scientific models. Based on this substantial and rigorous effort, as documented in the nine new reports, the USGS has significantly advanced the understanding of sea ice loss and its implications for polar bears.

The USGS has concluded that polar bears will almost certainly disappear entirely from Alaska by the middle of this century, and that we will have lost fully two-thirds of the world's polar bears by this time. The good news is that polar bears may survive in the high Canadian archipelago and portions of Northwest Greenland through the end of this century. However, the risk of extinction for these polar bear populations by the end of the century is still unacceptably high: over 40 percent in the archipelago over 70 percent in Northwest Greenland, under sea ice conditions forecast by the climate models used in the study.

Ominously, new observational data from this summer show that the actual decline of Arctic sea ice, on which polar bears depend, is underestimated by all currently available models.

This suggests that these are conservative estimates and that Polar Bear habitat will likely decline even more quickly than the models project.

The ESA defines an endangered species as "any species which is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range" and a threatened species as "any species which is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range." Under the current circumstances, we believe the polar bear simply must be listed as endangered rangewide.

While the conclusions of the USGS reports are cause for immediate concern and actions to protect polar bears, they are not cause for despair. The USGS study focused on the A1B "business as usual" Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change ("IPCC") scenario of future emissions, in which atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations are assumed to reach 717 parts per million by 2100. The study did not consider a scenario in which greenhouse gas emissions are capped at a much lower level. Stated simply, "business as usual" emissions will drive polar bears to extinction with near certainty, but it is not too late to preserve them if we act now.

Given the perilous status of polar bears in Alaska, we also must maximize the protection of their habitat. We are concerned that the Department of the Interior is moving forward with five lease sales in the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas, in polar bear habitat, through its Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Drilling Program for 2007-2012. We believe that any further commitments to fossil fuel development in polar bear habitat should be put on hold until the Fish and Wildlife Service has issued a final listing determination for the polar bear under the ESA.

Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment on the new date. As you know, the best available science on polar bears and their sea-ice habitat has improved since the initial petition was filed two years ago and there is ever-increasing evidence that the continued existence of the polar bear is endangered. We strongly urge you in this ruling

to continue to adhere to the ESA's mandate to consider solely the best available scientific evidence and not to allow politics to enter this process. We appreciate your serious attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Kevin V. Kennedy

Legislative Assistant

The Honorable Don Young

Congressman for All Alaska

2111 Rayburn HOB

202-225-5765

## Unknown

---

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 7:23 AM  
**To:** Katz; John W (GOV)  
**Cc:** Crome; Cora J (GOV); Nizich; Michael A (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Bishop; Samuel T (GOV); Colberg; Talis J (LAW)  
**Subject:** Re: Law of the Sea/Latest Developments

Thanks John. Has our delegation shown more enthusiasm for ratification?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "John Katz" <jwkatz@ALASKADC.org>

Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2007 08:46:13

To: "Governor Sarah Palin" <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Cc: "Cora Crome" <cora\_crome@gov.state.ak.us>, "Mike Nizich" <mike\_nizich@gov.state.ak.us>, "Mike Tibbles" <michael\_tibbles@gov.state.ak.us>, "Sam Bishop" <sbishop@ALASKADC.org>, "Talis Colberg" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: Law of the Sea/Latest Developments

## Unknown

---

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com  
Sent: Friday, October 05, 2007 10:05 PM  
To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)  
Subject: Re: Palmer wins by 10!!!

WELL- I was tempted!!! But what could I say - the Warriors didn't produce and I'll just pretend it didn't really happen! :). So we can bond over jdhs taking it all the way!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frys@alaska.gov>

Date: Fri, 05 Oct 2007 22:02:55

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Palmer wins by 10!!!

Fyi, they beat your warriors. You're hard to get riled up. I'm w you, jdhs is going all the way this year.

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Fri Oct 05 22:00:27 2007

Subject: Re: Palmer wins by 10!!!

Very cool. We just had jdhs fball team over for dinner. It was fun- my \$ is on them winning it all this year.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ivy Frye

To: Governor Sarah Palin

Sent: Oct 5, 2007 9:15 PM

Subject: Palmer wins by 10!!!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

---

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 10:00 PM  
**To:** Frye; Ivy J (DOA)  
**Subject:** Re: Palmer wins by 10!!!

Very cool. We just had jdhs fball team over for dinner. It was fun- my \$ is on them winning it all this year.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ivy Frye  
**To:** Governor Sarah Palin  
**Sent:** Oct 5, 2007 9:15 PM  
**Subject:** Palmer wins by 10!!!

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One



**Unknown**

---

**From:** PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 9:33 AM  
**To:** Katz; John W (GOV)  
**Cc:** 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)  
**Subject:** FW: Request for your help

John,

In our call today I'd like to talk about the request I have from the NLGA regarding contacting our congressional delegation on the National Defense Authorization Act. Specifically, the NLGA passed a resolution urging Congress to repeal Section 1076 of the Act and to open a dialogue with governors regarding how best to enhance the effectiveness of the Guard (under the command of our governor) in responding to domestic disasters and emergencies. I believe the governor has already communicated with Congress on this and I would like to do so as well, assuming alignment with the governor's position. Supposedly, the standing committees are working in conference now on the bill. Look forward to the call.

Sean

---

**From:** Duble, Brian [mailto:Brian.Duble@state.vt.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 8:26 AM  
**Subject:** Request for your help

Dear Lt. Governor,

I'm writing to you to ask for your help.

Could you please contact your Congressional delegation today, concerning Section 1076 of the National Defense Authorization Act?

**RESOLUTION REGARDING URGED CHANGE TO  
THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT**

As you may recall, at the NLGA's Winter Meeting last March, we passed the bi-partisan resolution cited above, with Lt. Gov. John Bohlinger (MT), Lt. Gov. Michael Fedele (CT), Lt. Gov. Elizabeth Roberts (RI), Lt Gov Rick Sheehy (NB), Lt Gov Patty Judge (IO), Lt Gov Mark Parkinson (KS) and Lt Gov Anthony Brown (MD) all joining with me as co-sponsors.

I have attached the resolution for your review.

Senate and House Armed Services Committees are working in conference right now on this bill.

To make certain that NLGA's strong recommendations concerning a governor's authority over the Guard and Reserves are reflected in the final legislation, PLEASE JOIN ME IN FORWARDING THE

8/26/2009

PRA\_GSP01\_0006269

RESOLUTION (attached) TO YOUR US SENATORS AND CONGRESSMEN TODAY.

If you would like to discuss the resolution or the federal legislation, please feel free to call me at 802-828-2226.

Thank you,

Brian

Brian Dubie  
Lieutenant Governor  
The State House  
Montpelier, Vermont 05633-5401  
Tel: 802-828-2226  
Fax: 802-828-3198  
[brian.dubie@state.vt.us](mailto:brian.dubie@state.vt.us)  
[www.ltgov.state.vt.us](http://www.ltgov.state.vt.us)

8/26/2009

PRA\_GSP01\_0006270

**Unknown**

---

**From:** PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 5:02 PM  
**To:** 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'  
**Cc:** 'Privileged or Perso'  
**Subject:** Dinner for you end Todd with me and Sandy?

Governor,

Sandy and I want to invite you and Todd to join us for dinner in our home sometime across the next couple of weeks. I'd like the chance for the four of us to think together on Alaska's future, if possible. It would be a simple, informal meal and I'd email Todd to ask him, as well, but don't have his address in my contacts. Perhaps you could forward to him.

We now live close to Jewel Lake Rd. and Raspberry so we're close to the airport if needed. Let me know if I can get in touch with Janice to work on scheduling. Thank you so much.

Sean

8/31/2009

PRA\_GSP01\_0006271

## Unknown

---

**From:** John Katz [jwkatz@ALASKADC.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 4:46 AM  
**To:** Governor Sarah Palin  
**Cc:** Talis Colberg; Sam Bishop; Cora Crome; Michael Tibbles; Mike Nizich  
**Subject:** Law of the Sea/Latest Developments

Per your request for periodic updates, below is a good summary of recent developments in the Senate concerning the Convention on the Law of the Sea.

As you will note, there appears to be overwhelming support on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and among knowledgeable experts for Senate ratification. There are several reasons for this, not the least of which is the issue discussed in your recent correspondence to Alaska's Senators; that is, the Convention would enhance the ability of the US to assert claims to territory beneath the Arctic Ocean.

Unless there is some major development, I probably won't provide any additional updates unless and until Senate ratification occurs.

### **Law of the Sea**

#### **Senate Foreign Relations Members Pledge**

#### **Action on United Nations Law of Sea Treaty**

U.S. ratification of the United Nations' Law of the Sea treaty drew support from key members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee at a hearing Oct. 4.

Sen. Robert Menendez (D-N.J.) said he would push for the international treaty that governs ocean protection to be brought to the Senate floor as quickly as possible.

Menendez, who heads the Foreign Relations Subcommittee on International Development and Foreign Assistance, Economic Affairs, chaired the full committee meeting in the absence of Sen. Joseph Biden (D-Del.).

It was the second hearing the committee has held on the U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea, following a Sept. 27 hearing at which Deputy Secretary of State John Negroponte and others testified.

Sen. Richard Lugar (Ind.), the committee's ranking Republican, also lent his support to the treaty.

During the hearing, Lugar said he does not share the sentiments of the treaty's critics, which include Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), who has said the treaty would allow U.S. military vessels to be governed by an international body.

Lugar, however, warned that the Senate's failure to ratify the treaty is tantamount to "allowing decisions that will affect our Navy, our ship operators, our off-shore industries, and other

8/26/2009

PRA\_GSP01\_0006272

maritime interests to be made without U.S. representation."

### **No Vote Scheduled**

Following the Oct. 4 hearing, Olivia Alair, a Biden spokeswoman, told BNA that the next step for the committee is to vote on the treaty in a business meeting, though she added "one has not been scheduled yet." Biden expressed his support for ratification at the earlier hearing.

Committee Democrats convened the hearing to examine whether the treaty that governs how commercial and military ships conduct themselves on the open seas would protect the environment, while maintaining United States sovereignty.

The Law of the Sea treaty, which took effect in 1994, is the first comprehensive, enforceable international environmental law covering all forms of marine pollution (land-based, atmospheric, ship-borne, and originating from activities on the seabed).

Menendez reminded the witnesses and the panel that the Senate has failed to ratify the treaty, which the Bush administration supports and 155 countries, including Russia and China, have adopted.

Lugar reminded the panelists and the committee that the treaty will continue to form the basis of maritime law regardless of whether the United States is a party. "Consequently, the United States cannot insulate itself from the Convention merely by declining to ratify," he said in written testimony.

Lugar and Menendez dismissed those critics for creating false impressions about the treaty's impacts on U.S. sovereignty.

Biden too dismissed the critics in his Sept. 27 statement. At the time, Biden emphasized that the treaty gives the United States control over its natural resources out to 200 miles, including those on the continental shelf.

"No nation may fish or exploit the minerals of this area without the permission of the United States," Biden said. "Far from threatening our sovereignty, the Convention allows us to secure and extend our sovereign rights."

### **Critics Testify**

Despite their condemnation, Fred Smith, president of the Competitive Enterprise Institute, in testimony Oct. 4 described the U.N. treaty as "fatally flawed"

Frank F. Gaffney Jr., president of the Washington, D.C.-based Center for Security Policy, also testified that ratification of the treaty would prove inconsistent with U.S. national security.

Countering Gaffney and Smith were letters of support sent Sept. 24 to Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-Nev.) and Minority Leader Sen. Mitch McConnell (R-Kent.) by five former secretaries of state—Madeline Albright, James Baker, Alexander Haig, Colin Powell, and George Shultz.

8/26/2009

PRA\_GSP01\_0006273

Negroponte also testified Sept. 27 that President Bush favors the treaty.

"Joining will serve the national security interests of the United States, secure U.S. sovereign rights over extensive marine areas, promote U.S. interests in the environmental health of the oceans, and give the United States a seat at the table when the rights essential to our interests are debated and interpreted," said Negroponte, citing a speech the president gave

May 15 (94 DER A-40, 05/16/07)

 a0b4n2b1a4

A U.N. report on oceans In June noted the rapid deterioration of oceans and called for "urgent action." In the report, U.N. General Secretary Ban Ki-Moon also urged the United States to ratify the treaty.

Likewise, the Joint Ocean Commission Initiative has been pressuring the Senate to ratify the treaty, as part of a package of reforms to improve the environmental health of the oceans and marine ecosystems surrounding the United States. The initiative is a collaboration between the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy and the privately funded Pew Oceans Commission.

 End of article graphic

*By Amena H. Saiyid*

Please note that my email address has changed. My new email address is [jwkatz@alaskadc.org](mailto:jwkatz@alaskadc.org). Thank you .

8/26/2009

PRA\_GSP01\_0006274

## Unknown

---

**From:** PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 2:55 PM  
**To:** 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'  
**Subject:** RE: Hub

Sounds great--reminds me of the teen center that Samaritan's Purse volunteers are currently building in coordination with Grant Funk's Covenant Church in Hooper Bay--right on every kid's way home from school.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 2:33 PM  
**To:** PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)  
**Subject:** Fw: Hub

Awesome place. If you hear of potential funding sources send them to Juneau Christian Center's Hub.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

**From:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com

**Date:** Fri, 5 Oct 2007 22:29:21

**To:** "Karleen Jackson" <karleen.jackson@alaska.gov>, "Anna Kim" <anna.kim@alaska.gov>

**Cc:** "Mike Tibbles" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>, "K Perry" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

**Subject:** Hub

Just toured Juneau's HUB... what an awesome place for teens this will be! Said I'd do all I could to help find funds (they need \$500g more to open), esp since I vetoed state funds for it - bc of the church relationship.

If we get a chance to put a plug in for the HUB with Rasmussen or other funders, let's do so. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

## Unknown

---

**From:** John Katz [jwkatz@ALASKADC.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 05, 2007 7:41 AM  
**To:** gov.sarah@yahoo.com  
**Cc:** Talis Colberg; Sam Bishop; Cora Crome; Mike Tibbles; Mike Nizich  
**Subject:** Re: Law of the Sea/Latest Developments

To my knowledge, Congressman Young has not opined on the Convention perhaps because the House has no role in the ratification process.

Senators Stevens and Murkowski are both supportive. However, Senator Lisa has been more outspoken recently, because she actually sits on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, which has jurisdiction over the Convention.

My sense is that the Republican leadership in the Senate is growing impatient with the opponents of the Convention. Therefore, I expect the Committee to schedule a ratification vote sometime soon.

Whether the Convention will actually get to the floor this year is less clear, as floor time is difficult to come by, and the opponents will be loud even if their numbers are small. I would expect both of our Senators to advocate for ratification at that time.

The Senate is now shooting for a November 16th adjournment date, which may be more of a wish than a realistic goal.

Please note that my email address has changed. My new email address is [jwkatz@alaskadc.org](mailto:jwkatz@alaskadc.org). Thank you .

>>> On 10/5/2007 at 11:22 AM, in message <1605626080-1191597769-cardhu\_decombobulator\_blackberry.rim.net-649837095-@bx003.bisx.prod.on.blackberry>, <gov.sarah@yahoo.com> wrote:  
 Thanks John. Has our delegation shown more enthusiasm for ratification?  
 Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "John Katz" <jwkatz@ALASKADC.org>

Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2007 08:46:13

To: "Governor Sarah Palin" <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Cc: "Cora Crome" <cora\_crome@gov.state.ak.us>, "Mike Nizich"

<mike\_nizich@gov.state.ak.us>, "Mike Tibbles"

<michael\_tibbles@gov.state.ak.us>, "Sam Bishop" <sbishop@ALASKADC.org>, "Talis Colberg" <talis.colberg@alaska.gov>

Subject: Law of the Sea/Latest Developments

8/25/2009

PRA\_GSP01\_0006276